

# **Some Rules for Relative Clauses:**

Relative clauses are formed with the **pronouns**: who, which, whose, or that and with the adverbs when, where, or why. To know which pronoun to use, look carefully at the relative clause itself. Who refers to people, which refers to things, and that refers to people or things.

1. Who, which, or that can be followed by a verb (an incomplete clause).

# **Examples:**

The scientist *who* (or *that*) <u>described</u> the basic principles of the heredity of plants and animals is Gregor Johann Mendel, an Austrian monk.

A gene is a portion of the DNA which (or that) resides at a locus within the chromosome.

2. Whom, which, and that can be followed by a subject and a verb, but the clause will be incomplete. In these types of clauses whom, which, or that can be deleted.

### **Examples:**

The student whom I saw in the lab was Ritva. OR The student whom I saw in the lab was Ritva.

The experiment which (or that) I did last week provided fantastic results.

3. Whom or which preceded by a preposition (e.g. to whom, of which, about which, by which) will be followed by a full clause (subject, verb and object).

#### **Examples:**

The person <u>for whom I wrote the poem</u> is Gregor Mendel.

The process by which UCLA selects its incoming freshmen class is highly competitive.

I'll never forget the day on which I accidentally threw my lab results away.

4. Relative clauses begin with adverbs when, where, and why.

# **Examples:**

The lab where **I work** is very cold.

I'll never forget the day when I presented my research to Dr. Lee.

Tell me the reason why you had so much trouble with your essay.

5. Two types of relative clauses—restrictive vs. non-restrictive- are punctuated differently AND use different relative pronouns (*that* vs. *which*).

**Restrictive**—Many <u>companies</u> that have engaged in layoffs have found that their productivity decreased.

**Non-restrictive**—The Duke University study on anger, which was funded by the government, has found that attorneys who said their anger levels were high in their years as students were four to five times more likely to die in their 50s than their somewhat calmer colleagues.

**Task 1:** Underline the relative clauses in the following sentences. Note the punctuation of the relative clause and try to explain why it is punctuated in this way.

- 1. People who succeed at what they do tend to keep doing it.
- 2. The super-rich—who already have so much more than virtually everybody—continue to work.
- 3. But once countries get past the prosperity level that solves large-scale health and nutrition problems, income disparity pales in comparison with other factors in predicting happiness.
- 4. Evidence that economic growth can create unhappiness is wrong.
- 5. Yet post-Soviet Russia is a miserable place in which only about one in five citizens say they are very happy about their lives.
- 6. The happiness of American communities—all of which are above the level of subsistence—depends very little on their comparative prosperity.
- 7. Money may not buy happiness, but there is one important way in which money and happiness are related.
- 8. People in poor countries where much of the population lives below subsistence level are much unhappier than people in rich countries.
- 9. A hundred thousand years ago, it would have given us happiness to have more animal skins than the troglodyte in the next cave; this would help ensure mating prospects, which would keep our genetic lines going.
- 10. Take eastern Tennessee, which includes the cities of Chattanooga and Knoxville, but is mostly rural, as an example.